



# 2018 Legislative candidate survey

Candidate name: Debra Lekanoff Legislative district: 40<sup>th</sup> LD

You are a candidate for: House of Representatives  Senate

If you are a candidate for the House of Representatives, which position are your running for? Position 1  Position 2

## Local government background

Have you ever been elected or appointed to a local government position, or served on a local government board, committee, or as staff? *Local governments include cities, counties, public utility districts, school districts, fire protection districts, port districts, and more.* Yes  No

If yes, in what capacity?  
Enter text here

## 1. State-shared local revenues

When the state encounters fiscal problems, legislators often take revenues historically shared with cities, or increase fees on services provided to cities to fill the state's budget deficit. Recently, some shared revenues have been restored. However, during the last recession, the Legislature enacted cuts and diversions, while unfunded mandates and other local government cost drivers remained unaddressed, including the following items:

- Changes in liquor tax and profit distributions resulting in losses of nearly \$200 million in funds that supported essential local services, such as public safety;
- Sweeping and diverting over \$1 billion in local utility taxes, real estate taxes, and project loan repayments from the nationally-acclaimed Public Works Trust Fund (PWTF) that helps keep local infrastructure operating; and
- Requiring cities to pay training fees for officers attending the Basic Law Enforcement Academy (BLEA).

Do you support or oppose the Legislature continuing to use locally-shared revenues or revenues intended for capital projects in order to balance the state's operating budget? Support Oppose

Briefly describe one or more actions that you would take to ensure your views on these issues are accounted for by your caucus and in a final budget.

I will work in collaboration with 40<sup>th</sup> LD seatmates to protect the shared revenue for local governments, and strive to work with the state to work on the restructuring of a progressive tax reform that meets the needs of our State. We can move forward together with a tax reform, at the state and local levels, that reflects the reality of the situations for local and state governments. Costs are rising based on impact of growth and the answer is we will need to address this together as citizens.





**If you disagree that local control should be preserved**, please describe one or more specific issue areas or situations in which the state should preempt local control.

**OR**

**If you agree that local control should be respected**, please describe how you would argue for the protection of local control to colleagues who want to preempt local governments.

I believe that AWC also has a role with communicating with me and my colleagues. While the legislature is not in session, I believe it is important for legislators to meet with local city officials to understand the challenges they face. Only by having strong relationships between local and state elected officials is it possible to make good decisions for strong communities.

If you have any questions, please contact Regina Adams, AWC Government Relations Coordinator, at 360-753-4137 or [ReginaA@awcnet.org](mailto:ReginaA@awcnet.org). Please return your survey **by the end of the day on Wednesday, July 4** by:

- Email to [ReginaA@awcnet.org](mailto:ReginaA@awcnet.org);
- Fax to (360) 753-0149; or
- U.S. mail to AWC Candidate Survey, 1076 Franklin Street SE, Olympia, WA 98501-1346.

**Thank you for your participation!**